Sylvain Takerkart

Institut de Neurosciences de la Timone (INT), Marseille

http://www.int.univ-amu.fr

Designing graphs and graph-kernels to characterize cortical representations measured with functional MRI

MLNI workshop, November 9 2011

http://mlni2011.sciencesconf.org/

Outline

- 1. Introduction: the "fMRI decoding" framework
- 2. Graphs and graph-kernels for "fMRI decoding"
- 3. Graph kernel on the image grid
- 4. Graph kernel on parcels-based graphs
- 5. Perspectives

An example...

Haxby, J. V., Gobbini, M. I., Furey, M. L., Ishai, A., Schouten, J. L., & Pietrini, P. (2001). Distributed and overlapping representations of faces and objects in ventral temporal cortex. Science, 293(5539).



task: 1-back repetition detection task within each block































r = 0.81 r = -0.40

r = -0.43 r = -0.17

in the neuroimaging litterature, it's called...

- fMRI decoding
- brain-reading
- multi-voxel pattern analysis (MVPA)

some pitfalls:

some pitfalls:

- no use of the spatial structure (lost in the vectorization)

some pitfalls:

- no use of the spatial structure (lost in the vectorization)

- difficult to use to understand brain functions...

spatial graphical model:

spatial graphical model:

- a list of nodes
- A = (a_{ij}) , adjacency matrix
- $V = (v_i)$, attribute(s) of the nodes

questions:

questions:

- which graphical model?
- which similarity measure between graphs?
- which analysis tools?

Support Vector Machines (SVM)

Support Vector Machines (SVM)

The kernel trick $K(X_1, X_2) = \langle \phi(X_1), \phi(X_2) \rangle$

Support Vector Machines (SVM)

The kernel trick $K(X_1, X_2) = \langle \phi(X_1), \phi(X_2) \rangle$

Graph kernels

fMRI data lives on an intrinsically structured space:

or

the 3D image grid

the 2D cortical mesh

Graph *G* construction:

- nodes = the voxels / the vertices of the mesh
- A = (a_{ij}) = 1 if nodes *i* and *j* are neighbors
- $-V = (v_i) = fMRI$ "activation" value

(within a ROI: fixed A)

Graph - kernel:

 $K(G_1, G_2) = V_1^T \cdot (I + \lambda V_1 \cdot A \cdot V_2^T) \cdot V_2$

Results of within subject classification (leave-one-session-out cross-validation) for different experiments, within a ROI

Experiment	Subject	ROI	Classes	Linear	RBF	Polynomial	Graph kernel
#1	#1	#1	3	0.644	0.644	0.65	0.661
#1	#1	#2	3	0.8	0.778	0.8	0.805
#1	#1	#3	3	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.678
#2	#1	#1	8	0.617	0.61	0.626	0.629
#3	#1	#1	4	0.778	0.764	0.778	0.75
#3	#2	#1	4	0.597	0.597	0.611	0.653
#3	#3	#1	4	0.847	0.833	0.847	0.819
#3	#4	#1	4	0.889	0.875	0.889	0.833
#3	#5	#1	4	0.681	0.653	0.681	0.569
#3	#6	#1	4	0.889	0.931	0.917	0.917
#3	#7	#1	4	0.667	0.681	0.667	0.625
#3	#8	#1	4	0.806	0.833	0.806	0.819
#3	#9	#1	4	0.528	0.514	0.528	0.528
#3	#10	#1	4	0.972	0.958	0.972	0.944

Table 1: Maximum performance of each kernel (across all values of the kernel parameters and the SVM regularization constant)

$$\begin{split} & C \in \! \{ 10^{\text{-2}},\! 10^{\text{-1}},\! 1,\! 10^{\text{1}},\! 10^{\text{2}} \} \\ & \sigma \in \, \{ 10^{\text{-2}},\! 10^{\text{-1}},\! 1,\! 10^{\text{1}},\! 10^{\text{2}} \} \end{split}$$

 $\label{eq:lasses} \begin{array}{l} n \in \{2,3,4,5\} \\ \lambda \in \{0.01,\, 0.1,\, 0.25,\, 0.75,\, 1\} \end{array}$

conclusions:

- we designed a new kernel that uses the intrinsic structure of (neuro)imaging data

- we demonstrated good performances
- but...?

- do we have any knowledge about the spatial structure of the activation pattern?

- do we have any knowledge about the spatial structure of the activation pattern?

- in some cases: yes!

retinotopy in the visual cortex

tonotopy in the auditory cortex

tonotopy in the auditory cortex

topies...

the topological properties of the input are carried on in the cortical representations

topies...

the topological properties of the input are carried on in the cortical representations

in particular:

- a large contiguous input should result in a connected blob

topies...

the topological properties of the input are carried on in the cortical representations

in particular:

- a large contiguous input should result in a connected blob ...parcels

topies...

the topological properties of the input are carried on in the cortical representations

in particular:

- a large contiguous input should result in a connected blob ...parcels
- the spatial adjacency should be informative

topies...

the topological properties of the input are carried on in the cortical representations

in particular:

- a large contiguous input should result in a connected blob ...parcels
- the spatial adjacency should be informative ...graph

Tonotopy fMRI experiment: mapping of the frequency response of auditory stimuli in the primary auditory cortex

Stimuli presented at five different frequencies: 300Hz, 500Hz, 1100Hz, 2200Hz, 4000Hz

from an anatomical ROI to a parcellation

from an anatomical ROI to a parcellation

from an anatomical ROI to a parcellation

Ward's hierarchical clustering (feature agglomeration with an added spatial constraint)

from an anatomical ROI to a parcellation

Ward's hierarchical clustering (feature agglomeration with an added spatial constraint)

from parcels to a graph

from parcels to a graph

- nodes = parcels
- A = adjacency matrix given by spatial adjacency of parcels (region adjacency graph: RAG)
- X = coordinates of the barycenter of the parcel
- V = mean "activation value" within the parcel

kernel design:

kernel design: the convolution kernels...

D. Haussler. Convolution kernels on discrete structures. UCSC Technical Report, 1999.

T. Gärtner, J. W. Lloyd, P. A. Flach. Kernels and Distances for Structured Data. Machine Learning, 2004.

"The advantage of convolution kernels is that they are very general and can be applied in many different situations. However, because of that generality, they require a significant amount of work to adapt them to a specific problem"

- should use the structure (spatial adjacency coded into the edges of the RAG: A)

- should take into account the anatomical information (locations of the parcels X)

- should take into account the functional information ("activation" value V)

subgraphs: all pairs of nodes

$$g_{1} = \{N_{i}^{1}; N_{j}^{1}\} \qquad g_{2} = \{N_{k}^{2}; N_{l}^{2}\}$$

$$k_{A}(g_{1}, g_{2}) = a_{ij}.a_{kl}$$

$$k_{X}(g_{1}, g_{2}) = e^{-\gamma_{1}||X_{i}-X_{k}||^{2}}.e^{-\gamma_{1}||X_{j}-X_{l}||^{2}}$$

$$k_{V}(g_{1}, g_{2}) = e^{-\gamma_{2}||V_{i}-V_{k}||^{2}}.e^{-\gamma_{2}||V_{j}-V_{l}||^{2}}$$

$$K(G_{1}, G_{2}) = \sum_{g_{1},g_{2}} k_{A}(g_{1}, g_{2}).k_{X}(g_{1}, g_{2}).k_{V}(g_{1}, g_{2})$$

Results of within subject classification (leave-one-session-out cross-validation) for the tonotopy experiment

Results of within subject classification (leave-one-session out cross-validation) for the tonotopy experiment

		A1L			A1R	
Subject	Linear	RBF	Graph kernel	Linear	RBF	Graph kernel
#1	0.45	0.44	0.39	0.5	0.5	0.4
#2	0.63	0.63	0.54	0.55	0.61	0.53
#3	0.48	0.48	0.49	0.48	0.49	0.5
#4	0.34	0.33	0.31	0.29	0.31	0.29
#5	0.39	0.39	0.34	0.51	0.51	0.46
#6	0.55	0.55	0.51	0.38	0.38	0.38
#7	0.35	0.35	0.36	0.35	0.39	0.35

$$\begin{split} & C \in \! \{ 10^{\text{-2}},\! 10^{\text{-1}},\! 1,\! 10^{\text{1}},\! 10^{\text{2}} \} \\ & (\sigma_{\!_V},\,\sigma_{\!_X}) \in \; \{ 10^{\text{-2}},\! 10^{\text{-1}},\! 1,\! 10^{\text{1}},\! 10^{\text{2}} \}^2 \end{split}$$

 $\# parcels \in \{10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40\}$

conclusions:

- we designed a graph kernel working on parcels-based graphs

- this opens several applications...

- study cortical representations across subjects

- study cortical representations across subjects

- study cortical representations across populations

- study cortical representations across subjects

- study cortical representations across populations

- compare with data from other modalities

Fig. 1. Functional areas identified in previous studies of non-human primate auditory cortex. Tonotopic gradients are represented by high (H) and low (L) endpoints. Contiguous high areas are marked in red and contiguous low areas in blue.

- study cortical representations across subjects

- study cortical representations across populations

- compare with data from other modalities

- test generative models

Fig. 1. Functional areas identified in previous studies of non-human primate auditory cortex. Tonotopic gradients are represented by high (H) and low (L) endpoints. Contiguous high areas are marked in red and contiguous low areas in blue.

Thank you!

Thanks to the staff of the "Centre IRMf, Marseille"

Funding: Neuro-IC interdisciplinary program, CNRS

Reference:

Graph-based inter-subject classification of local fMRI patterns. S. Takerkart, G. Auzias, D. Schon, B. Thirion, L. Ralaivola To appear in: Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Proc. Third International Workshop on Machine Learning in Medical Imaging (MLMI 2012), held in conjunction with MICCAI 2012, Nice (France), October 2012